Prepared for submission to JHEP

arXiv:1304.4949v1 [hep-th] 17 Apr 2013

Gauge theories in anti-selfdual variables

M. Bochicchio[a,b] **A. Pilloni**[a,c]

[a] *INFN sez. Roma 1*
Piazzale A. Moro 2, Roma, I-00185, Italy
[b] *Scuola Normale Superiore (SNS)*
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, Pisa, I-56100, Italy
[c] *Dipartimento di Fisica 'Sapienza' Università di Roma*
Piazzale A. Moro 2, Roma, I-00185, Italy

E-mail: marco.bochicchio@roma1.infn.it,
alessandro.pilloni@roma1.infn.it

Abstract: Some years ago the Nicolai map, viewed as a change of variables from the gauge connection in a fixed gauge to the anti-selfdual part of the curvature, has been extended by the first named author to pure YM from its original definition in $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM. We study here the perturbative $1PI$ effective action in the anti-selfdual variables of any gauge theory, in particular pure YM, QCD and $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM. We prove that the one-loop $1PI$ effective action of a gauge theory mapped to the anti-selfdual variables in any gauge is identical to the one of the original theory. This is due to the conspiracy between the Jacobian of the change to the anti-selfdual variables and an extra functional determinant that arises from the non-linearity of the coupling of the anti-selfdual curvature to an external source in the Legendre transform that defines the $1PI$ effective action. Hence we establish the one-loop perturbative equivalence of the mapped and original theories on the basis of the identity of the one-loop $1PI$ effective actions. Besides, we argue that the identity of the perturbative $1PI$ effective actions extends order by order in perturbation theory.

Contents

1 **Introduction** 1

2 **Conclusions** 4

3 **Change to the ASD variables** 4

4 **Identity of the one-loop $1PI$ effective actions in the covariant α-gauge** 9

5 **Identity of the one-loop $1PI$ effective actions in an arbitrary gauge** 11

6 **One-loop beta function in the ASD variables in the Feynman gauge** 14

7 **Comments and generalizations** 15

A **One-loop effective action of YM and of QCD by the background field method** 17

B **Nicolai map in $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM and cohomological localization** 23

---

1 Introduction

Many years ago Nicolai proved [1, 2] that in any supersymmetric theory with unbroken supersymmetry there exists a change of variables that sets in ultralocal form the action that occurs in the partition function of the mapped theory. After Nicolai proved this general result many examples were worked out in detail by Nicolai himself and by other authors.

In particular De Alfaro-Fubini-Furlan-Veneziano [3, 4] discovered that the Nicolai map in $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM is the change of variables from the gauge connection A_m to the anti-selfdual (ASD) part F^-_{mn} of the gauge curvature F_{mn} in the light-cone gauge. The Jacobian of the map in the light-cone gauge cancels exactly the gluino determinant, thus making the ASD curvature F^-_{mn} in this gauge a free ultralocal Gaussian field.

This map is a well defined change of variables in function space since the 3 components of the gauge fixed connection, i.e. the 4 components of A_m minus the gauge-fixing condition for the light-cone component $A_+ = 0$, are mapped to the 3 independent components of the ASD field F^-_{mn}, i.e. the 6 components of the curvature minus the 3 ASD conditions $F^-_{mn} = -\,{}^*F^-_{mn}$, with * the Hodge dual.

Nevertheless, for a long time it has been unclear whether the Nicolai map could reproduce perturbation theory. For example it was puzzling how a seemingly free partition function could reproduce the perturbative beta function of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM or the exact

Novikov-Shifman-Vainshtein-Zakharov ($NSVZ$) beta function [5]. In particular, nobody thought that the map could make sense without the cancellation of the determinants in the light-cone gauge, thus outside $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM [6].

However, in the last years new attention has been brought back to the Nicolai map by the first named author [7–12]. Firstly, it was observed [10] that in $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM the cancellation of the determinants in the partition function in the light-cone gauge occurs only up to zero modes, and therefore when zero modes arise there is an additional contribution to the beta function due to the Pauli-Villars regulator. Moreover, it was discovered [10] that the Nicolai map could be combined with localization techniques of cohomological nature to reproduce the well known result that the gluino condensate is exactly localized on configurations with vanishing ASD curvature, i.e. instantons. In this way the exact $NSVZ$ beta function is correctly recovered [10] (see also Appendix B). With this non-perturbative result there is no argument against the consistency of the map.

Furthermore, the map to the ASD variables has been extended from its original definition in $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM to pure YM [7, 8, 10], and as a consequence to any gauge theory that extends pure YM, as a change of variables from the gauge connection to the ASD part of the curvature in any fixed gauge, in particular in the Feynman gauge [8, 10]. The idea in [8, 10] is that the map is well-defined *per se* in every gauge-fixed theory, even if the cancellation of determinants does not occur, because of the matching of the local number of degrees of freedom.

Nevertheless, some skepticism remains about the Nicolai map in $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM, even more so in pure YM.

Until now the change to the ASD variables has been employed to reproduce the correct beta function only in non-perturbative sectors of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM [10] and of pure YM [8]. The problem of the perturbative equivalence is unsettled yet, since the non-perturbative techniques rely on the existence of zero modes around non-trivial configurations, absent in perturbation theory, in order to reproduce the correct beta function. This problem is even more acute if certain large-N arguments are applied to $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SUSY$ YM mapped to the ASD variables as in Shifman [13] which rely on planar diagrams for which zero modes play no role. Furthermore, even though changes of variables have been extensively studied in the simplest cases [14], there is no systematic study of a non-linear change of variables like the one to the ASD variables.

In this paper we intend to enforce the validity of the map to the ASD variables by developing the perturbation theory in these variables. Conceptually there is an infinite family of maps to the ASD variables, parameterized by the gauge choice $\mathcal{G}(A)=0$ for any admissible gauge condition. Yet we have discovered that computationally the most effective way to reproduce the standard $1PI$ effective action in the covariant α-gauge is to perform the change of variables from the connection to the ASD curvature completed by a fourth auxiliary scalar field c defined by $D_n(\tilde{A})\delta A_n = c$. c is distributed as a Gaussian ultralocal field with covariance proportional to α and D_n is the covariant derivative depending on the background field $\tilde{A}_n$ that occurs in the construction of the usual $1PI$ effective action by the background field method.

Therefore, in the covariant α-gauge we map the 4 components of the gauge connection

A_m to the 3 ASD fields plus the field c. Thus the number of local degrees of freedom in the gauge-fixed functional integral is exactly conserved by our map. The map is local in the direction from the connection to the ASD field but its inverse is non-local. Moreover, the spin content of the original and mapped theories are the same. Indeed, the 4-vector A_m transforms as $(1/2, 1/2)$ for $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ in Euclidean space-time and therefore decomposes into a 3-vector and a scalar which can be eliminated by the gauge fixing in the Landau gauge $\alpha = 0$. The ASD tensor transforms as $(0, 1)$ and therefore its independent components coincide with the 3-vector $\vec{E} - \vec{H}$.

The plan of the paper is as follows.

In Section 3 we define the map to the ASD variables in the YM theory in any gauge, in particular in the covariant α-gauge, and we evaluate the generating functional of perturbation theory employing the background field method. We remark the changes that occur in the $1PI$ effective action in the ASD variables as opposed to the original variables, and we show that the coupling to an external source provides a contribution to the beta function additional to the Jacobian of the change of variables. This is due to the non-linearity of the coupling of the source to the ASD field induced via the change of variables by the linear coupling of the source to the gauge connection.

In Section 4 we prove that the one-loop $1PI$ effective action in the ASD variables in any covariant α-gauge is identical to the original one. In order to do so we employ a chiral spinor notation.

In Section 5 we extend the proof of the identity of the effective actions to any gauge $\mathcal{G}(A) = 0$ (e.g. the light-cone gauge). This is obtained employing a differential geometric notation in terms of differential forms and of the Hodge dual.

In Section 6 we check by direct computation that the one-loop beta function of the YM theory mapped to the ASD variables is identical to the one of the original theory in the Feynman gauge. In this gauge the spinor notation makes the computation easier. In intermediate steps we compute the Jacobian of the map to the ASD variables in the Feynman gauge and the associated divergent counterterm finding perfect agreement with the previous computation [8, 10].

In Section 7 we discuss as explicit additional examples QCD and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SUSY$ YM. We compute their beta functions and we add further comments.

For pedagogical reasons and to make this paper self-contained we add two Appendices. In Appendix A, that is a plagiarism of Section 3.1 of [10],[1] we provide a review of the computation of the one-loop effective action by the background field method in the original variables. This is necessary to complete our proof of the identity of the effective actions in the two formulations.

In Appendix B, that is a plagiarism of Section 4 of [10],[2] we provide a review of the localization of the partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SUSY$ YM in the ASD variables on instantons and of the associated computation of the $NSVZ$ beta function. This is an interesting example of a non-perturbative use of the Nicolai map.

---

[1] Courtesy of M. Bochicchio.

[2] Courtesy of M. Bochicchio.

2 Conclusions

In this paper we prove that the change to the *ASD* variables in any gauge-fixed theory that extends pure *YM* is well defined and it maps identically the one-loop $1PI$ effective actions of the original and mapped theories. In particular we work out in detail the case of pure *YM*, *QCD* and $\mathcal{N}=1$ *SUSY YM*.

As a consequence of the one-loop result there is no obstruction to extend the change to the *ASD* variables order by order in perturbation theory. Indeed, if the change of variables is well defined, i.e. invertible, at a certain order of perturbation theory, it is automatically invertible at the next order provided the gauge coupling is small enough.

The formulation of gauge theories in the *ASD* variables, that is the main conceptual byproduct of this paper, shows that the gauge invariant particle content of *YM* theories may in fact be very different from the one inferred by conventional perturbation theory in terms of the gauge connection. Indeed, neither the gauge connection nor the *ASD* variables are gauge invariant, but because of the equivalence of the two formulations they support the same gauge invariant particle content.

However, the *ASD* variables are gauge covariant. Hence their eigenvalues are gauge invariant, a feature that has importance in non-perturbative applications. In fact, the change to the *ASD* variables has been the basis of new non-perturbative approaches to *YM* and *SUSY YM* [8–12]. More generally it is a new language to describe gauge theories whose potentiality remains to be fully explored.

3 Change to the *ASD* variables

The generating functional of *YM* in Euclidean space-time, omitting momentarily the gauge fixing, is:

$$Z\left[J\right]=\int \delta A\, \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(F_{mn}\right)^2+\int d^4x\, 2\,\mathrm{tr}\ J_m A_m\right] \tag{3.1}$$

where A_m is the gauge connection and $F_{mn}=\partial_{[m,}A_{n]}+i\left[A_m,A_n\right]$ is the gauge curvature. F_{mn}, A_m, J_m are matrices valued in the fundamental representation of the Lie algebra of $SU(N)$, e.g. $A_m=A_m^a T^a$, with $\mathrm{tr}(T^aT^b)=\frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}$. The following well known identity holds:

$$\mathrm{tr}\, F_{mn}^2=\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(F_{mn}^-\right)^2+\mathrm{tr}\, F_{mn}\, {}^*F_{mn} \tag{3.2}$$

with ${}^*F_{mn}=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{mnrs}F_{rs}$, $F^{\pm}=2P^{\pm}F=F\pm {}^*F$ and $P^{\pm}$ the projectors over the *SD* (resp. *ASD*) curvatures defined in Eq. (5.2). Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}Z\left[J\right]&=\int \delta A\, \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\, F_{mn}\, {}^*F_{mn}-\frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(F_{mn}^-\right)^2+\int d^4x\, 2\,\mathrm{tr}\ J_m A_m\right]\\&=\int \delta A\, \exp\left[-\frac{\left(4\pi\right)^2 Q\left(A\right)}{2g^2}-\frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(F_{mn}^-\right)^2+\int d^4x\, 2\,\mathrm{tr}\ J_m A_m\right]\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $Q=\mathrm{tr}\int d^4x F\,{}^*F/\left(4\pi\right)^2$ is the second Chern class. We set $Q=0$ since only trivial bundles occur in perturbation theory.

We now change variables from the connection to the *ASD* curvature following [8], inserting in the functional integral the appropriate resolution of the identity introduced in [7]:

$$1 = \int \delta\mu^- \, \delta\big(F^-_{mn} - \mu^-_{mn}\big) \tag{3.4}$$

The resolution of identity is an essential technical tool to perform explicit computations in any gauge. Integrating the delta function on the gauge connection, we get formally the Jacobian, that is ill-defined because we have not fixed the gauge yet:

$$\begin{aligned} Z\,[J] &= \int \delta A \, \delta\mu^- \; \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4g^2} \int d^4x \; \mathrm{tr}\,\big(F^-_{mn}\big)^2 + \int d^4x \, 2\,\mathrm{tr}\; J_m A_m \right] \, \delta\big(F^-_{mn} - \mu^-_{mn}\big) \\ &= \int \delta\mu^- \; \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4g^2} \int d^4x \; \mathrm{tr}\,\big(\mu^-_{mn}\big)^2 + \int d^4x \, 2\,\mathrm{tr}\; J_m A_m \right] \, \mathrm{Det}\, \frac{\delta A}{\delta \mu^-} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Inserting the gauge-fixing condition in the covariant α-gauge, the generating functional reads:

$$\begin{aligned} Z\,[J] = \int \delta A \, \delta\mu^- \delta c \, \delta\big(F^-_{mn} - \mu^-_{mn}\big) \; \delta\left(D_m(\tilde{A})(A_m - \tilde{A}_m) - c \right) \; \Delta_{\mathrm{FP}} \\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4g^2} \int d^4x \; \mathrm{tr}\,\big(F^-_{mn}\big)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha g^2} \int d^4x \; \mathrm{tr}(c^2) + \int d^4x \, 2\,\mathrm{tr}\; J_m A_m \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where the covariant derivative acts by the commutator $D_m(\tilde{A}) = \partial_m + i[\tilde{A}_m, \cdot]$ and it is computed with respect to a background field $\tilde{A}_m$.

We find convenient at this stage to introduce a spinor notation in Euclidean space-time. To avoid confusion, we use latin characters for vector indices and greek characters for spinor indices:

$$A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = A_m \, (\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \qquad\qquad \bar{A}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = A_m \, (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \tag{3.7a}$$

$$D_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_m \, (\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \qquad\qquad \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = D_m \, (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \tag{3.7b}$$

$$(\mu^-)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} \, (\mu^-)_{mn} \, (\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \qquad\qquad (\nu^-)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = (\mu^-)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} + c \, \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}} \tag{3.7c}$$

with:

$$(\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (\mathbb{1}, i\vec{\tau})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \qquad\qquad (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = (\mathbb{1}, -i\vec{\tau})^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \tag{3.7d}$$

and:

$$(\sigma^{mn})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{4} \left[(\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \, (\bar{\sigma}^n)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} - (\sigma^n)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \, (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \right] \tag{3.7e}$$

$$(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[(\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \, (\sigma^n)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} - (\bar{\sigma}^n)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \, (\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \right] \tag{3.7f}$$

where $\vec{\tau}$ are the Pauli matrices and ν^- is a new field that includes the *ASD* field μ^- and the longitudinal auxiliary field c. It follows that:

$$(\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \, (\bar{\sigma}^n)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} + (\sigma^n)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \, (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = 2\delta^{mn} \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \tag{3.7g}$$

$$(\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \, (\sigma^n)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + (\bar{\sigma}^n)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \, (\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = 2\delta^{mn} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}} \tag{3.7h}$$

Using Eqns. (3.7), we write the map to the ASD variables in spinor notation (spinor indices are understood):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^{-a}_{mn} &= 2P^{-}_{mn,rs}\left(\partial_{[r,}A^a_{s]} - f^{abc}A^b_r A^c_s\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}^{mn}\mu^{-}_{mn} &= \bar{\sigma}^{mn}\left(\partial_{[m,}A^a_{n]} - f^{abc}A^b_m A^c_n\right) \\
\mu^{-a} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\sigma}^m\sigma^n - \bar{\sigma}^n\sigma^m\right)\left(\partial_m A^a_n - \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}A^b_m A^c_n\right) \\
\mu^{-a} &= \left(\bar{\sigma}^m\sigma^n - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}^{\{m,}\sigma^{n\}}\right)\left(\partial_m A^a_n - \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}A^b_m A^c_n\right) \\
\mu^{-a} &= \left(\bar{\sigma}^m\sigma^n - \delta_{mn}\mathbb{1}\right)\left(\partial_m A^a_n - \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}A^b_m A^c_n\right) \\
\mu^{-a} &= \bar{\partial}A^a - \mathbb{1}\partial_m A^a_m - \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}\bar{A}^b A^c
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, in the covariant α-gauge the map to the ASD field μ^- and the gauge-fixing condition $c = \partial_m A_m$ combine into:

$$\nu^{-a} = \bar{\partial}A^a - \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}\bar{A}^b A^c \tag{3.9}$$

Evaluating the fluctuation around a fixed configuration $\tilde{A}$, we get:

$$\delta\nu^{-a} = \bar{D}(\tilde{A})^{ac}\delta A^c - \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}\overline{\delta A}^b\delta A^c = \bar{D}(\tilde{A})^{ac}\delta A^c + O\left(\delta A^2\right) \tag{3.10}$$

The Jacobian of the map depends only on the linear part of Eq. (3.10), hence it is now well defined since the operator $\bar{D}$ is invertible in perturbation theory. Therefore, the Jacobian is computed by:

$$\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\nu^-} = \int \delta A\;\delta\!\left(\nu^{-a} - \bar{\partial}A^a + \frac{1}{2}f^{abc}\bar{A}^b A^c\right) = \mathrm{Det}(\bar{D})^{-1} \tag{3.11}$$

Thus in the covariant α-gauge in spinor notation the generating functional reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z\left[J\right] = \int \delta\nu^-\;\exp\Bigg[&-\frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x\,\left(\nu^{-a}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\left[\delta^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\delta}} - \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\delta^{\dot{\delta}}_{\dot{\gamma}}\right]\left(\nu^{-a}\right)_{\dot{\gamma}}{}^{\dot{\delta}} \\
&+\int d^4x\;\left(\bar{J}^a\right)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\;\left[A\left(\nu^-\right)\right]^a_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\Bigg]\,\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\nu^-}\,\mathrm{Det}(-\Delta)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\left(\mu^{-a}\right)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\,\varepsilon^{\dot{\delta}\dot{\beta}}\left(\mu^{-a}\right)^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{FP}} = \mathrm{Det}(-\Delta)$ is defined in Appendix A.

In Section 4 we evaluate this functional integral at one-loop. Because of the nonlinear coupling of the source J to ν^- induced by the linear coupling to the connection A, the source term at one loop provides a functional determinant in addition to the Jacobian of the change of variables.

In general gauges $\mathcal{G}\left[A\right] = 0$ we simply restrict the map (3.8) to the gauge-fixed slice of the gauge orbits. The corresponding generating functional reads:

$$Z\left[J\right]=\int\delta\mu^{-}\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4g^{2}}\int d^{4}x\,\left(\mu^{-a}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\left(\mu^{-a}\right)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}+\int d^{4}x\ \left(\bar{J}^{a}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\ \left[A(\mu^{-})\right]^{a}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\right]$$

$$\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\mu^{-}}\,\Delta_{\mathrm{FP}}\,\delta\left(\mathcal{G}\left[A\left(\mu^{-}\right)\right]\right)\quad(3.13)$$

As in the covariant α-gauge, because of the nonlinear coupling of the source J to μ^{-}, the source term at one loop provides a functional determinant in addition to the Jacobian of the change of variables. We evaluate it in Section 5.

We observe that in the usual variables, as opposed to the ASD variables, the source term does not provide any contribution to the $1PI$ effective action. This is easily seen evaluating at one loop the effective action Γ as the Legendre transform of the connected generating functional G:

$$\exp\left(-\Gamma[\tilde{A}]\right)=\exp\left(-G\left[J\right]-J\tilde{A}\right)=Z\left[J\right]\exp(-J\tilde{A})=\int\delta A\,e^{-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}S_{\mathrm{YM}}[A]+JA-J\tilde{A}}\tag{3.14}$$

where the sum on the discrete indices and the integrals on space-time are understood. $J=\frac{1}{2g^{2}}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{YM}}}{\delta A}\Big|_{A=\tilde{A}}$, i.e. $\tilde{A}$ satisfies the equation of motion with the external source J. Indeed, expanding $A=\tilde{A}+\delta A$ around the saddle point $\tilde{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(-\Gamma[\tilde{A}]\right)&=\int\delta A\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}S_{\mathrm{YM}}[\tilde{A}+\delta A]+J\,\delta A\right)\\&\sim e^{-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}S_{\mathrm{YM}}[\tilde{A}]}\int\delta A\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{YM}}}{\delta A}\Big|_{\tilde{A}}\delta A+J\,\delta A-\frac{1}{4g^{2}}\frac{\delta^{2}S_{\mathrm{YM}}}{\delta A^{2}}\Big|_{\tilde{A}}\delta A^{2}\right)\\&=e^{-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}S_{\mathrm{YM}}[\tilde{A}]}\int\delta A\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4g^{2}}\frac{\delta^{2}S_{\mathrm{YM}}}{\delta A^{2}}\Big|_{\tilde{A}}\delta A^{2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

Hence the source term is cancelled by the equation of motion for $\tilde{A}$ and by the Legendre transform and therefore it does not contribute to the $1PI$ effective action.

For completeness we recall the connection between the aforementioned standard definition of the generating functional and the gauge invariant $1PI$ effective action in the background field method [15]. To define a gauge invariant effective action, we introduce a modified generating functional depending on the background field:

$$Z[J,\tilde{A}]=\int\delta(\delta A)\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}S_{\mathrm{YM}}(\tilde{A}+\delta A)+J\delta A\right]\tag{3.16}$$

where we split the gauge field $A=\tilde{A}+\delta A$ into a background field $\tilde{A}$ and a quantum field δA. We omit for the moment the gauge fixing condition for the quantum field. If we assume that the source transforms as:

$$\delta_{c}J_{m}=i\left[J_{m},\Theta\right]\tag{3.17a}$$

the *YM* action plus the source term are invariant for the classical symmetry:

$$\delta_c\tilde{A}_m = D_m(\tilde{A})\,\Theta \tag{3.17b}$$

$$\delta_c\delta A_m = i\,[\delta A_m, \Theta] \tag{3.17c}$$

and for the quantum symmetry:

$$\delta_q\tilde{A}_m = 0 \tag{3.17d}$$

$$\delta_q\delta A_m = D_m(\delta A)\,\Omega + i[\tilde{A}_m, \Omega] \tag{3.17e}$$

Therefore, if we choose a gauge-fixing condition for the quantum field invariant for the classical symmetry, e.g.:

$$\mathcal{G} = D_m(\tilde{A})\delta A_m - c \tag{3.18}$$

the *YM* action, the Fadeev-Popov determinant and the modified generating functional are invariant for the classical symmetry as well. The same classical symmetry holds for the connected generating functional and for the effective action:

$$G[J,\tilde{A}] = -\log(Z[J,\tilde{A}]) \tag{3.19a}$$

$$\Gamma[\widetilde{\delta A},\tilde{A}] = G[J,\tilde{A}] - J\widetilde{\delta A} \tag{3.19b}$$

where:

$$\widetilde{\delta A} = \frac{\delta G[J,\tilde{A}]}{\delta J}, \quad \delta_c\widetilde{\delta A} = i[\widetilde{\delta A}, \Theta] \tag{3.19c}$$

In order to relate this formalism to the standard one, we perform the change of variables $\delta A \to \delta A - \tilde{A}$ in the functional integral. The classical field disappears from the *YM* action, but it is still present in the gauge fixing:

$$Z[J,\tilde{A}] = \exp(-J\tilde{A})\int \delta(\delta A)\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^2}S_{\mathrm{YM}}\,(\delta A) + J\delta A\right] \equiv \exp(-J\tilde{A})\,\tilde{Z}_{\tilde{A}}[J] \tag{3.20}$$

in such a way that $\tilde{Z}_{\tilde{A}}[J]$ is the standard generating functional but with the unusual gauge-fixing:

$$\mathcal{G}[\delta A] = D_m(\tilde{A})\left(\delta A_m - \tilde{A}_m\right) - c \tag{3.21}$$

that reduces to:

$$\mathcal{G}[\delta A] = D_m(\tilde{A})\,\delta A_m - c \tag{3.22a}$$

provided:

$$\partial_m\tilde{A}_m = 0 \tag{3.22b}$$

The $\tilde{Z}$ generating functional leads to the connected generating functional:

$$G[J,\tilde{A}] = J\tilde{A} + \tilde{G}_{\tilde{A}}[J] \tag{3.23}$$

and to the effective action:

$$\Gamma[\widetilde{\delta A},\tilde{A}] = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{A}}[\widetilde{\delta A} + \tilde{A}] \tag{3.24}$$

Finally, we can set $\widetilde{\delta A} = 0$ in such a way that the gauge invariant effective action coincides with the standard one in the aforementioned unusual gauge.

4 Identity of the one-loop $1PI$ effective actions in the covariant α-gauge

We show now that the Jacobian and the extra-determinant due to the external source in the ASD variables in the covariant α-gauge combine to produce the determinant obtained integrating over the gauge connection in the original variables.

Therefore, in the α-gauge the effective action in the ASD variables is identical to the one in the original variables.

We express the term JA as a function of ν, first computing J as a function of $\tilde{\nu}$ at the saddle point and then inverting perturbatively the map from A to ν. We simplify the notation omitting the superscript $^{-}$ over μ and ν.

The gauge-fixed action density with the source term is:

$$\frac{1}{4g^2}\nu^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, \nu_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} A_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \tag{4.1}$$

with $\nu^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \nu^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} \left[\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\gamma}}\delta^{\dot{\delta}}_{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}\delta^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\delta}}\right]$. The saddle point equation, i.e. the equation of motion with the source term, is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2g^2}\, \tilde{\nu}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} &= \bar{J}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta}\, \frac{\delta A_{\delta\dot{\gamma}}}{\delta \nu_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}}\bigg|_{\tilde{\nu}} \\
\bar{J}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} &= \frac{1}{2g^2}\, \tilde{\nu}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, \frac{\delta \nu^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}}{\delta A_{\delta\dot{\gamma}}}\bigg|_{A(\tilde{\nu})} \\
\bar{J}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} &= -\frac{1}{2g^2}\, \tilde{\nu}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\, \overleftarrow{\bar{D}}^{\dot{\beta}\delta}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We can choose the source term in such a way that $c = 0$ at the saddle point, i.e. in such a way that $\tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\mu}$, with μ the anti-Hermitian traceless matrix defined in Eq. (3.7c). This choice of the gauge for the background field at the saddle point ensures the gauge invariance of the effective action according to Eq. (3.22b). Thus the equation of motion reduces to:

$$\bar{J}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} = -\frac{1}{2g^2}\, \tilde{\mu}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\, \overleftarrow{\bar{D}}^{\dot{\beta}\delta} \tag{4.3}$$

To find A as a function of ν we expand F^{-} around the background field $\tilde{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\tilde{A}+\delta A)^a_{mn} &= F(\tilde{A})^a_{mn} + D(\tilde{A})^{ac}_m \delta A^c_n - D(\tilde{A})^{ac}_n \delta A^c_m - f^{abc}\delta A^b_m \delta A^c_n \\
F^{-}(\tilde{A}+\delta A)^a_{mn} &= F^{-}(\tilde{A})^a_{mn} + D(\tilde{A})^{ac}_m \delta A^c_n - D(\tilde{A})^{ac}_n \delta A^c_m - \varepsilon_{mnrs} D(\tilde{A})^{ac}_r \delta A^c_s \\
&\quad - f^{abc}\left(\delta A^b_m \delta A^c_n - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{mnrs}\delta A^b_m \delta A^c_n\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $D(\tilde{A})$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the background field. We split $\nu = \tilde{\nu} + \delta\nu$ with $\tilde{\nu} = F^{-}(\tilde{A})$ the background field in the ASD variables. From now on, to simplify the notation, we omit the $\tilde{}$ over all the background fields since no confusion can arise. Moreover the dependence of the covariant derivatives on the background field is understood:

$$\delta\nu^a_{mn} = D^{ac}_m \delta A^c_n - D^{ac}_n \delta A^c_m - \varepsilon_{mnrs} D^{ac}_r \delta A^c_s - f^{abc}\left(\delta A^b_m \delta A^c_n - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{mnrs}\delta A^b_m \delta A^c_n\right) \tag{4.5}$$

that in the spinor-matrix representation reads:

$$\delta\nu^a = \bar{D}^{ac}\delta A^c - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc}\overline{\delta A}^b \delta A^c \tag{4.6}$$

as we found in Eq. (3.10) by using the spinor notation from the very start. This equation can be inverted perturbatively:

$$\begin{aligned} -D^{da}\bar{D}^{ac}\delta A^c &= -D^{da}\delta\nu^a - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} D^{da}\left(\overline{\delta A}^b \delta A^c\right) \\ \delta A^e &= -G^{ed} D^{da}\delta\nu^a - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} G^{ed} D^{da}\left(\overline{\delta A}^b \delta A^c\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $G^{ed} = \left[\left(-D^2\right)^{-1}\right]^{ed}$. With the accuracy necessary for the one-loop computation we have:

$$\delta A^a = -G^{ad} D^{dc}\delta\nu^c - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc}\, G\,\partial\left(\overline{\delta A}^b \delta A^c\right) + O\left(\delta A^3\right) \tag{4.8a}$$

$$\delta A^a = -G^{ad} D^{dc}\delta\nu^c - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc}\, G\,\partial\left(G\delta\nu^b \overleftarrow{\bar{\partial}}\, G\partial\delta\nu^c\right) + O\left(\delta A^3\right) \tag{4.8b}$$

where $G = \left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\mathbb{1}$ is a spin singlet. The second term in Eq. (4.8b) is quadratic in the fluctuation $\delta\nu$. We keep it and we get:

$$\left(\operatorname{tr}\bar{J}^a\delta A^a\right)^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4g^2} f^{abc}\operatorname{tr}\mu^a \overleftarrow{\bar{\partial}}\, G\partial\left(G\delta\nu^b \overleftarrow{\bar{\partial}}\, G\partial\delta\nu^c\right) \tag{4.9}$$

Integrating by parts, it simplifies to:

$$\left(\operatorname{tr}\bar{J}^a\delta A^a\right)^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4g^2} f^{abc}\operatorname{tr}\mu^a\delta\nu^b\left(\bar{\partial}G\right)G\partial\delta\nu^c \tag{4.10}$$

that explicitly reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\operatorname{tr}\bar{J}^a\delta A^a\right)^{(2)} &= \frac{f^{abc}}{4g^2}\int d^4x\, d^4z\, d^4t\, (\mu^a_x)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\delta\nu^b_z)^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}}(\bar{\partial}^z)^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} G\left(x-z\right) G\left(x-t\right)\left(\partial^t\right)_{\delta\dot{\rho}}(\delta\nu^c_t)^{\dot{\rho}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4z\, d^4t\, (\delta\nu^b_z)^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}}\left(O^{bc}_{zt}\right)^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}}(\delta\nu^c_t)^{\dot{\rho}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

We now integrate over the fluctuation $\delta\nu$ to get:

$$\int\delta\nu\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^2}S_{\mathrm{YM}} + JA\right] = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\left(\mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}}\delta^{bc}\delta_{zt} + \left[O^{bc}_{zt}\right]^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}}\right] = \mathcal{D}et_J \tag{4.12}$$

Finally, we evaluate the Jacobian of the map to the *ASD* variables. From the definition Eq. (3.10) we get:

$$\frac{\delta A}{\delta\nu} = \bar{D}^{-1} \tag{4.13}$$

The infinite determinant of a non-Hermitian operator is ill-defined. It can be given a meaning by multiplying by the adjoint and taking the square root:

$$\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\nu} = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(D\bar{D}\right) \tag{4.14}$$

Therefore, the product of the determinants in Eq. (4.14) and Eq. (4.12) reads:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}et_\mu &= \mathcal{D}et_J \,\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\mu} = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(D\right)\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\,\mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}+O\right]\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(\bar{D}\right)\\ &= \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-D\,\mathbb{1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\,\bar{D}+DO\bar{D}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and working out in detail the spinor notation:

$$\mathcal{D}et_\mu = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\left(D\bar{D}\right)^{\gamma}_{\rho}\,\delta^{\dot\alpha}_{\dot\beta}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)D_{\gamma\dot\beta}\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha\rho}+D^{z}_{\gamma\dot\gamma}\left[O^{bc}_{zt}\right]^{\dot\gamma\dot\alpha}_{\dot\beta\dot\rho}\,\bar{D}^{\dot\rho\rho}_{t}\right] \tag{4.16}$$

The operator $D\bar{D}$ can be evaluated as:

$$\begin{aligned}D\bar{D} &= D_m\sigma^m D_n\bar\sigma^n = \frac{1}{2}D_mD_n\left(\sigma^{\{m}\bar\sigma^{n\}}+\sigma^{[m}\bar\sigma^{n]}\right)\\ &= D_mD_n\delta^{mn}\mathbb{1}+i\frac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{ad}\,F(\tilde{A})_{mn}\sigma^{[m}\bar\sigma^{n]} = \Delta\mathbb{1}+i\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{ad}\,F^{+}(\tilde{A})_{mn}\sigma^{mn}\\ &= \Delta\mathbb{1}+i\,\mathrm{ad}\,F(\tilde{A})^{+}\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

with $(F(\tilde{A})^{+})^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}=\frac{1}{2}F(\tilde{A})^{+}_{mn}(\sigma^{mn})^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$. To simplify the notation the dependence of F on the background field is understood. Hence using the previous equation for $D\bar{D}$ and the action of the derivatives in O we get:

$$\mathcal{D}et_\mu = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\Delta\delta^{\gamma}_{\rho}\delta^{\dot\alpha}_{\dot\beta}+f^{abc}(F^{+a})^{\gamma}{}_{\rho}\delta^{\dot\alpha}_{\dot\beta}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha\rho}D_{\gamma\dot\beta}+f^{abc}(\mu^a)^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}\delta^{\gamma}_{\rho}\right] \tag{4.18}$$

Going back to the vector notation and using $F^{+}+\mu=F^{+}+F^{-}=2F$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}et_\mu &= \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\Delta\delta_{mn}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)D_mD_n+f^{abc}F^{+a}_{mn}+f^{abc}\mu^{a}_{mn}\right]\\ &= \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\Delta\delta_{mn}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)D_mD_n+2f^{abc}F^{a}_{mn}\right]\\ &= \mathcal{D}et_A\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

This is precisely the determinant associated to the fluctuations of the gauge connection in the original variables in the α-gauge computed in Eq. (A.15). Together with the *FP* determinant it furnishes the one-loop quantum contribution to the $1PI$ effective action.

5 Identity of the one-loop $1PI$ effective actions in an arbitrary gauge

We introduce now a geometric notation. We define the 1-forms:

$$A = A_m\,dx_m \qquad\qquad J = J_m\,dx_m \tag{5.1a}$$

and the 2-forms:

$$F = F_{mn}\,dx_m\wedge dx_n \qquad\qquad \mu = \mu^{-}_{mn}\,dx_m\wedge dx_n \tag{5.1b}$$

where:

$$F = dA + iA \wedge A \tag{5.1c}$$

The projectors over the *SD* (resp. *ASD*) curvatures are:

$$P^{\pm}_{mn,rs} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\delta_{mr}\delta_{ns} - \delta_{ms}\delta_{nr} \pm \varepsilon_{mnrs}\right) \tag{5.2}$$

In Euclidean space-time the projectors are eigenstates of the Hodge star:

$$*P^{\pm} = \pm P^{\pm} \tag{5.3}$$

with $** = 1$. With this notation, omitting the sum on the discrete indices everywhere in the following, the generating functional reads:

$$Z[J] = \int \delta\mu \, \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8g^2}\int \mu \wedge *\mu + \int *J \wedge A\right] \delta\left(\mathcal{G}\left(A\right)\right) \mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\mu}\,\Delta_{\mathrm{FP}} \tag{5.4}$$

and since μ is *ASD*:

$$Z[J] = \int \delta\mu \, \exp\left[\frac{1}{8g^2}\int \mu \wedge \mu + \int *J \wedge A\right] \delta\left(\mathcal{G}\left(A\right)\right) \mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\mu}\,\Delta_{\mathrm{FP}} \tag{5.5}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the gauge-fixing functional and Δ_{FP} the corresponding *FP* determinant. The change to the *ASD* variables reads:

$$\mu = 2P^{-}F = 2P^{-}\left(F(\tilde{A}) + d_{\tilde{A}} \wedge \delta A + i\delta A \wedge \delta A\right) \tag{5.6a}$$

where $d_{\tilde{A}}$ is the covariant derivative along the classical field $\tilde{A}$. In general gauges $\mathcal{G}\left[A\right] = 0$ we simply restrict this map to the gauge-fixed slice of the gauge orbits, in such a way that its linearization defines an invertible operator in perturbation theory. We split μ into a background field $\tilde{\mu}$, depending only on the background gauge connection $\tilde{A}$, and a quantum fluctuation $\delta\mu$:

$$\tilde{\mu} = 2P^{-}F(\tilde{A}) \tag{5.6b}$$

$$\delta\mu = 2P^{-}\left(d_{\tilde{A}} \wedge \delta A + i\delta A \wedge \delta A\right) \tag{5.6c}$$

so that $\mu = \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{A}) + \delta\mu(\tilde{A}, \delta A)$. In the following we omit the ˜ symbol over the classical fields since no confusion can arise. Once restricted to the gauge-fixed slice Eq. (5.6c) can be inverted perturbatively:

$$\delta A = \delta A^{(1)} + \delta A^{(2)} + \dots \tag{5.7a}$$

$$\delta A^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(P^{-}d_A\wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu \tag{5.7b}$$

$$\delta A^{(2)} = -\frac{i}{4}\left(P^{-}d_A\wedge\right)^{-1}\left[\left(P^{-}d_A\wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu \wedge \left(P^{-}d_A\wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu\right] \tag{5.7c}$$

The equation of motion reads:

$$\mu = -4g^2 * J \wedge \left.\frac{\delta A}{\delta\mu}\right|_{\mu} \tag{5.8}$$

From Eq. (5.7b) we evaluate:

$$\frac{\delta A}{\delta \mu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1} \tag{5.9}$$

so that:

$$\mu = 2g^2 \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1} \wedge *J \tag{5.10}$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2g^2} * \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge \mu\right) \tag{5.11}$$

$\delta A^{(2)}$ and the saddle point equation determine the quadratic form in the fluctuation that contributes to the effective action:

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{8g^2}\int \mu \wedge \mu + \int *J \wedge A\right] \sim \exp\left[\frac{1}{8g^2}\int \delta\mu \wedge \delta\mu + \frac{1}{2}\int *J \wedge \frac{\delta^2 A}{\delta \mu^2}\delta\mu^2\right] \tag{5.12}$$

The source term reads:

$$\frac{1}{2} * J \wedge \frac{\delta^2 A}{\delta \mu^2}\delta\mu^2 = \frac{1}{2g^2}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge \mu\right) \wedge \delta A^{(2)} \tag{5.13}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge \mu\right) \wedge \delta A^{(2)} &= -\frac{i}{8}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge \mu\right) \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\left[\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu\right] \\ &= \frac{i}{8}\mu \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu \\ &= \frac{i}{8}2P^{-}F \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

where we have integrated by parts and substituted $\mu = 2P^{-}F$. Hence the quadratic form is:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{8g^2}\int \delta\mu \wedge \delta\mu + \frac{1}{2}\int *J \wedge \frac{\delta^2 A}{\delta \mu^2}\delta\mu^2 \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{8g^2}\left[\int \delta\mu \wedge \delta\mu + 2i\int P^{-}F \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\delta\mu\right]\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

The Jacobian to the *ASD* variables reads in geometric notation:

$$\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta \mu} = \mathrm{Det}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1} = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right) \tag{5.16}$$

Combining the two factors with the determinant due to the quadratic fluctuation in the source term we get:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \delta\mu\, \exp\left[\frac{1}{8g^2}\int \delta\mu \wedge \delta\mu + \frac{1}{2}\int *J \wedge \frac{\delta^2 A}{\delta \mu^2}\delta\mu^2\right]\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta \mu} \\ &= \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[1 + 2i\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}P^{-}F \wedge \left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right)^{-1}\right]\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(P^{-} d_A \wedge\right) \\ &\qquad = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[P^{-} d_A \wedge P^{-} d_A \wedge + 2iP^{-}F\wedge\right]\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

This has to be compared with the effective action in geometric notation in the original variables. The partition function reads:

$$Z = \int \delta A \, \exp\left[\frac{1}{8g^2}\int F^- \wedge F^-\right] \Delta_{\mathrm{FP}} \delta\left(\mathcal{G}\left(A\right)\right) \tag{5.18}$$

Expanding F^- around $\tilde{A}$ the quadratic form in the fluctuation δA turns out to be [10]:

$$\big(P^- d_A \wedge \delta A\big) \wedge \big(P^- d_A \wedge \delta A\big) + 2iP^- F \wedge \delta A \wedge \delta A \tag{5.19}$$

The associated determinant restricted to the gauge-fixed slice is:

$$\mathcal{D}et_A = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[P^- d_A \wedge P^- d_A \wedge + 2iP^- F \wedge\right] \tag{5.20}$$

that coincides with Eq. (5.17).

6 One-loop beta function in the *ASD* variables in the Feynman gauge

To get the one-loop beta function directly in the *ASD* variables we evaluate the divergent part of the determinant in Eq. (4.12) in the Feynman gauge $\alpha = 1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}et_J &= \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}\delta^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\rho}}\delta^{bc}\delta_{zt} + \left[O^{bc}_{zt}\right]^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}}\right] \\ &\sim \exp\left[\frac{1}{4}\int d^4t\, d^4z \, \left[O^{bc}_{zt}\right]^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}} \delta^{\dot{\rho}}_{\dot{\gamma}'}\delta^{\dot{\beta}'}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left[O^{cb}_{tz}\right]^{\dot{\gamma}'\dot{\alpha}'}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}'\dot{\rho}'} \delta^{\dot{\rho}'}_{\dot{\gamma}}\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}'}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

The integral reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathcal{D}et_J \sim \frac{1}{4} f^{abc} f^{a'cb} \int d^4x\, d^4x'\, d^4z\, d^4t \quad &\left(\mu^a_x\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}} \left(\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta}_z G\left(x-z\right) G\left(x-t\right) \partial^t_{\delta\dot{\rho}}\right) \\ \delta^{\dot{\rho}}_{\dot{\gamma}'}\delta^{\dot{\beta}'}_{\dot{\alpha}}\delta^{\dot{\rho}'}_{\dot{\gamma}}\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}'} \quad &\left(\mu^{a'}_{x'}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}'}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}'} \left(\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\gamma}'\delta'}_t G\left(x-t\right) G\left(x-z\right) \partial^z_{\delta'\dot{\rho}'}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Contracting the Lie algebra indices we get $f^{abc} f^{a'cb} = -N\delta^{aa'}$. Taking into account this factor of N, we suppress in the following the Lie algebra indices of the field μ. In the Fourier space we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathcal{D}et_J \sim -\frac{1}{4}N \int d^4k\, d^4p \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8} &\left(\mu_p\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}} \left(\mu_{-p}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}'}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}'} \\ &\left(\bar{\not{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} \not{k}_{\delta'\dot{\rho}'} \left(\not{p}+\not{q}\right)_{\delta\dot{\rho}} \left(\bar{\not{p}}+\bar{\not{q}}\right)^{\dot{\gamma}'\delta'}\right) \delta^{\dot{\rho}}_{\dot{\gamma}'}\delta^{\dot{\beta}'}_{\dot{\alpha}}\delta^{\dot{\rho}'}_{\dot{\gamma}}\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}'} \frac{1}{k^4\left(p+k\right)^4} \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

We are interested in the logarithmic divergences. All the terms $O\left(p/k\right)$ are finite, therefore we can discard them:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathcal{D}et_J &\sim -\frac{1}{4}N \int d^4k\, d^4p \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8} \left(\mu_p\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}} \left(\mu_{-p}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}'}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}'} \left(\bar{\not{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} \not{k}_{\delta'\dot{\rho}'} \not{k}_{\delta\dot{\rho}} \bar{\not{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}'\delta'}\right) \delta^{\dot{\rho}}_{\dot{\gamma}'}\delta^{\dot{\beta}'}_{\dot{\alpha}}\delta^{\dot{\rho}'}_{\dot{\gamma}}\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}'} \frac{1}{k^8} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}N \int d^4k\, d^4p \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8} \left(\mu_p\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}} \left(\mu_{-p}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}'}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}'} \left(\delta^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\rho}}\delta^{\dot{\gamma}'}_{\dot{\rho}'}\right) \delta^{\dot{\rho}}_{\dot{\gamma}'}\delta^{\dot{\beta}'}_{\dot{\alpha}}\delta^{\dot{\rho}'}_{\dot{\gamma}}\delta^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}'} \frac{1}{k^4} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}2N \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\mu_p\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}} \left(\mu_{-p}\right)^{\dot{\beta}}_{\;\;\dot{\alpha}} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4 k^4} \\ &= -\frac{2N}{(4\pi)^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \, \left(\mu\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\;\;\dot{\beta}} \left(\mu\right)^{\dot{\beta}}_{\;\;\dot{\alpha}} \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

$(\mu)^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}(\mu)^{\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\alpha}$ is negative definite because the matrices μ are anti-Hermitian and thus a sign changes with respect to the action. The divergent local part of the determinant reads:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}et_J &\sim \exp\left[\frac{2N}{(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\frac{-1}{2}\int d^4x\,(\mu^a)^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}(\mu^a)^{\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\alpha}\right]\\ &= \exp\left[\frac{2N}{(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\,S_{\mathrm{YM}}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where $S_{\mathrm{YM}} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\,(\mu^a)^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}(\mu^a)^{\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\alpha} = \int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\,F_{mn}^2$. We combine the divergent part of this determinant with those of the Jacobian and of the *FP* determinant. The Jacobian decomposes into an orbital contribution and a spin contribution (see for details Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Det}\,\frac{\delta A}{\delta\mu} &= \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(D\bar D\right) = \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\Delta\delta_{mn} - i\,\mathrm{ad}\,F^+_{mn}\right]\\ &= \mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\Delta\delta_{mn}\right]\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[1 + i\,(-\Delta)^{-1}\,\mathrm{ad}\,F^+_{mn}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

The orbital contribution combines with the *FP* determinant to give Eq. (A.26):

$$\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[-\Delta\delta_{mn}\right]\Delta_{\mathrm{FP}} \sim \exp\left[-\frac{N}{3\,(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\,S_{\mathrm{YM}}\right] \tag{6.7}$$

The spin term in the Jacobian gives one half of the full contribution of the spin term to the beta function in the original variables (see Appendix A) because of the projector P^+:

$$\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left[1 + i\,(-\Delta)^{-1}\,\mathrm{ad}\,F^+_{mn}\right] \sim \exp\left[\frac{2N}{(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\,S_{\mathrm{YM}}\right] \tag{6.8}$$

The other one half of the spin contribution is furnished by the extra determinant due to the nonlinear coupling to the external source computed in Eq. (6.5). Were this additional contribution be absent the beta function would not coincide with the one in the original variables. Finally, the local part of the effective action is:

$$\exp\left(-\Gamma\right) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^2}S_{\mathrm{YM}} + \frac{11N}{3\,(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\,S_{\mathrm{YM}}\right] \tag{6.9}$$

and the beta function:

$$\beta(g) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial\log\Lambda} = -\frac{11Ng^3}{3\,(4\pi)^2} \tag{6.10}$$

agrees perfectly with the standard result.

7 Comments and generalizations

One may wonder as to whether in the *YM* theory mapped to the *ASD* variables chiral anomalies may arise. Were to arise anomalies the Jacobian would be ill-defined and our construction would be meaningless. However, the chiral determinant that is the Jacobian of the map to the *ASD* variables is a matrix with indices in the adjoint representation of Lie algebra, that cannot give rise to anomalies being a real representation. This is also why gluinos can be added to the theory without introducing any anomaly, despite their contribution to the effective action is a chiral determinant as it is the Jacobian to the *ASD* variables. In fact, the Jacobian is formally the square of the gluino determinant because the gluon field, being a vector, has one more spinor index with respect to the gluino field.

The change to the *ASD* variables extends immediately to *QCD* and $\mathcal{N}=1$ *SUSY YM* as well or to any gauge theory involving the *YM* connection.

Explicitly in *QCD* with n_f massless fermions[3] in the fundamental representation the generating functional is:

$$Z\left[J\right]=\int\delta A\delta\psi\delta\bar{\psi}\,\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}S_{\mathrm{YM}}+\int d^{4}x\,2\,\mathrm{tr}\;J_{m}A_{m}\right]\exp\left[i\sum_{i=1}^{n_f}\int d^{4}x\bar{\psi}_{i}\gamma^{m}D_{m}(A)\,\psi_{i}\right] \tag{7.1}$$

Integrating over the fermions, we get the determinant:

$$\mathcal{D}et_{\psi}=\mathrm{Det}^{n_f}[\gamma^{m}D_{m}(\tilde{A}+\delta A)]\sim\mathrm{Det}^{n_f}[\gamma^{m}D_{m}] \tag{7.2}$$

because the gauge fluctuations do not interact at one loop. Hence the fermion determinant at one loop has to be evaluated at the saddle point. Its local divergent part is:

$$\mathcal{D}et_{\psi}\sim\left[\exp\left(-\frac{4T_{\mathrm{F}}}{3\,(4\pi)^{2}}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)S_{\mathrm{YM}}\right)\right]^{n_f} \tag{7.3}$$

where $T_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{1}{2}$ is the Dynkin index for the fundamental representation. This contribution adds to the pure *YM* result of the previous sections to give the *QCD* beta function at one loop:

$$\beta=-\frac{g^{3}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\left(\frac{11N}{3}-\frac{2n_f}{3}\right) \tag{7.4}$$

Similarly in $\mathcal{N}=1$ *SUSY YM* the generating functional is:

$$Z\left[J\right]=\int\delta A\delta\lambda\delta\bar{\lambda}\,\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2g^{2}}S_{\mathrm{YM}}+\int d^{4}x\,2\,\mathrm{tr}\;J_{m}A_{m}\right]\exp\left[i\int d^{4}x\bar{\lambda}\sigma^{m}D_{m}(A)\,\lambda\right] \tag{7.5}$$

where λ is a Majorana fermion in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. The fermion determinant leads to the contribution in the one-loop effective action:

$$\mathcal{D}et_{\lambda}=\mathrm{Det}[\sigma^{m}D_{m}(\tilde{A}+\delta A)]=\mathrm{Det}^{1/2}[\gamma^{m}D_{m}(\tilde{A}+\delta A)]\sim\mathrm{Det}^{1/2}[\gamma^{m}D_{m}] \tag{7.6}$$

where the chiral Majorana determinant is evaluated as the square root of a Dirac determinant. The local divergent part reads:

$$\mathcal{D}et_{\lambda}\sim\left[\exp\left(-\frac{4T_{\mathrm{G}}}{3\,(4\pi)^{2}}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)S_{\mathrm{YM}}\right)\right]^{1/2} \tag{7.7}$$

where $T_{\mathrm{G}}=N$ is the Dynkin index of the adjoint representation. Finally, the beta function at one loop is:

$$\beta=-\frac{g^{3}}{(4\pi)^{2}}\left(\frac{11N}{3}-\frac{2N}{3}\right)=-\frac{3Ng^{3}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \tag{7.8}$$

---

[3] We assume it for simplicity. Our arguments about the effective action apply also to the massive case.

A One-loop effective action of YM and of QCD by the background field method

This computation is nowadays completely standard, but since it is not easily found in textbooks in the form that we need in the rest of the paper we display it here in some detail. The basic philosophy is as in [16].

The partition function of pure YM is:

$$Z = \int \delta A \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2g^2} S_{\mathrm{YM}}\right) \tag{A.1}$$

where:

$$S_{\mathrm{YM}} = \int d^4x \, \mathrm{tr}\,(F_{mn})^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int d^4x \,(F^a_{mn})^2 \tag{A.2}$$

The gauge connection is a matrix valued in the fundamental representation of the Lie algebra of $SU(N)$:

$$A_m = A^a_m T^a \tag{A.3}$$

with the Hermitian generators in the fundamental representation normalized as:

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(T^a T^b\right) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab} \tag{A.4}$$

The curvature of the YM connection is:

$$F_{mn} = \partial_m A_n - \partial_n A_m + i\,[A_m, A_n] \tag{A.5}$$

$$F^a_{mn} = \partial_m A^a_n - \partial_n A^a_m - f^{abc} A^b_m A^c_n \tag{A.6}$$

To perform the one-loop computation of the effective action a linear source term $J_n A_n$ is introduced in the Lagrangian, as recalled in Eq. (3.14), and the gauge connection is split into a classical background field and a fluctuating quantum field $A_m = \tilde{A}_m + \delta A_m$. The Fourier transform of the quantum field is supposed to be supported on momenta much larger than the momenta of the classical background field. By the splitting of the connection into $A_m = \tilde{A}_m + \delta A_m$ the curvature decomposes as follows:

$$F_{mn}(\tilde{A} + \delta A) = F_{mn}(\tilde{A}) + D_m(\tilde{A})\delta A_n - D_n(\tilde{A})\delta A_m + i\,[\delta A_m, \delta A_n] \tag{A.7}$$

where the covariant derivative $D_m(\tilde{A}) = \partial_m + i[\tilde{A}_m, \cdot]$ acts in the adjoint representation. We understand the integration on space-time in the following, and we freely integrate by parts. Since we are performing a one-loop perturbative computation, we keep only up to the quadratic terms in δA_m in the action.

We use the equation of motion $\frac{1}{g^2} D_m(\tilde{A}) F(\tilde{A})_{mn} = J_n$ to eliminate the linear term in the fluctuation. The linear term in the background field is eliminated by the Legendre transform. In the following the dependence of the curvature and of the covariant derivatives on the background field is understood. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} F^2_{mn}(\tilde{A} + \delta A) &\sim F^2_{mn} + (D_m \delta A_n - D_n \delta A_m)^2 + 2i F_{mn}\,[\delta A_m, \delta A_n] \\ &= F^2_{mn} + 2(D_m \delta A_n)^2 - 2 D_m \delta A_n D_n \delta A_m + 2i F_{mn}\,[\delta A_m, \delta A_n] \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Using:

$$(D_m D_n)\,\delta A_r = (D_n D_m)\,\delta A_r + i\,[F_{mn}, \delta A_r] \tag{A.9a}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(\delta A_n\,[F_{mn}, \delta A_m]) = \operatorname{tr}(F_{mn}\,[\delta A_m, \delta A_n]) = -\operatorname{tr}(\delta A_m\,[F_{mn}, \delta A_n]) \tag{A.9b}$$

the quadratic form in Eq. (A.8) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr} F^2_{mn}(\tilde{A} + \delta A) \sim{}& \operatorname{tr}\big((D_m\delta A_n - D_n\delta A_m)^2 + 2iF_{mn}\,[\delta A_m, \delta A_n]\big) \\
={}& \operatorname{tr}(-2\delta A_m\,\Delta\,\delta A_m + 2\delta A_n D_m D_n \delta A_m + 2iF_{mn}\,[\delta A_m, \delta A_n]) \\
={}& \operatorname{tr}\big(-2\delta A_m\,\Delta\,\delta A_m + 2\delta A_n D_n D_m \delta A_m + 2i\delta A_n[F_{mn}, \delta A_m] \\
&\quad + 2iF_{mn}\,[\delta A_m, \delta A_n]\,\big) \\
={}& \operatorname{tr}\big(-2\delta A_m\,\Delta\,\delta A_m - 2(D_m\delta A_m)^2 - 4i\delta A_m[F_{mn}, \delta A_n]\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

where $\Delta = D^2$ and

$$(\Delta)^{ac} = (D_m)^{ad}(D_m)^{dc} = \partial^2\delta^{ac} - \partial_m A^b_m f^{abc} - 2A^b_m f^{abc}\partial_m + A^b_m f^{abd} A^e_m f^{dec} \tag{A.11}$$

The gauge-fixing is performed by the Faddeev-Popov procedure. It is convenient to choose the Feynman gauge with respect to the background gauge field $\tilde{A}_m$:

$$D_m\delta A_m - c = 0 \tag{A.12}$$

c is an auxiliary Gaussian field chosen in such a way to cancel the longitudinal term in Eq. (A.10) by adding $\frac{1}{g^2}\int d^4x\,\operatorname{tr}(D_m\delta A_m)^2$ to the action. As a consequence the quadratic part of gauge-fixed action is:

$$\frac{1}{2g^2}\int d^4x\;\operatorname{tr}(-2\delta A_m\,\Delta\,\delta A_m - 4i\delta A_m[F_{mn}, \delta A_n]) \tag{A.13}$$

that in components becomes:

$$\frac{1}{2g^2}\int d^4x\,\delta A^a_m\left(-(\Delta)^{ac}\delta_{mn} + 2f^{abc}F^b_{mn}\right)\delta A^c_n \tag{A.14}$$

where $\operatorname{ad} F_{mn} = [F_{mn}, \cdot]$, i.e. $(\operatorname{ad} F_{mn})^{ac} = if^{abc}F^b_{mn}$. After integrating on the quadratic fluctuation δA and inserting the *FP* determinant $\operatorname{Det}(-\Delta)$ the one-loop effective action $\Gamma_{\text{1-loop}}(A)$ reads:

$$e^{-\Gamma_{\text{1-loop}}(A)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2g^2}S_{\mathrm{YM}}(A)}\operatorname{Det}^{-1/2}(-\Delta\delta_{mn} - 2i\operatorname{ad} F_{mn})\operatorname{Det}(-\Delta) \tag{A.15}$$

The following identity holds:

$$\operatorname{Det}^{-1/2}(-\Delta\,\delta_{mn} - 2i\operatorname{ad} F_{mn}) = \operatorname{Det}^{-1/2}(-\Delta\,\delta_{mn})\operatorname{Det}^{-1/2}\left(1 - 2i\,(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{ad} F_{mn}\right) \tag{A.16}$$

The first factor gives:

$$\operatorname{Det}^{-1/2}(-\Delta\,\delta_{mn}) = \operatorname{Det}^{-2}(-\Delta) \tag{A.17}$$

Therefore, the one-loop effective action reads:

$$e^{-\Gamma_{\text{1-loop}}(A)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2g^2}S_{\text{YM}}(A)}\,\text{Det}^{-1/2}\left(1-2i\,(-\Delta)^{-1}\ \text{ad}\,F_{mn}\right)\text{Det}^{-1}(-\Delta) \tag{A.18}$$

The first determinant is the spin contribution while the second determinant is the orbital contribution. We can factorize away a trivial infinite constant from the orbital contribution:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Det}^{-1}(-\Delta) &= \text{Det}^{-1}\left(-\partial^2 - i\partial_m A_m - 2iA_m\partial_m + A_mA_m\right)\\ &= \text{Det}^{-1}\left(-\partial^2\right)\text{Det}^{-1}\left(1+\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\left(-i\partial_m A_m - 2iA_m\partial_m + A_mA_m\right)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

Using:

$$\text{Det}\,(1+M) = e^{\text{Tr}\log(1+M)} = e^{\text{Tr}\,M - \text{Tr}(M)^2/2+\cdots} \tag{A.20}$$

at the lowest non-trivial order we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Det}^{-1}(-\Delta) = \text{Det}^{-1}\left(-\partial^2\right)\exp&\left[-\,\text{Tr}\left[\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\left(-i\partial_mA_m - 2iA_m\partial_m + A_mA_m\right)\right]\right]\\ \exp&\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\text{Tr}\left[\,\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\left(-i\partial_mA_m - 2iA_m\partial_m + A_mA_m\right)\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\left(-i\partial_mA_m - 2iA_m\partial_m + A_mA_m\right)\right]\right]\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

where the trace is over the space-time, the Lie algebra and the vector indices. The term $\text{Det}^{-1}\left(-\partial^2\right)$ is an irrelevant constant while the trace in the Lie algebra of the term linear in A_m vanishes. The term $\text{Tr}\left[\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}A_mA_m\right]$ is a quadratically divergent tadpole that cancels in any gauge-invariant regularization scheme, since it would give rise to a mass counterterm for the gauge connection. Therefore, it can be ignored. There remains the interesting divergence:

$$\text{Det}^{-1}(-\Delta) \sim \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\text{Tr}\left[\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\left(i\partial_mA_m + 2iA_m\partial_m\right)\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\left(i\partial_mA_m + 2iA_m\partial_m\right)\right]\right] \tag{A.22}$$

that evaluated in momentum space leads to:

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\,\text{tr}\left(A_m(-k)\,A_n(k)\right)\frac{(2p_m-k_m)(2p_n-k_n)}{p^2\,(p-k)^2}\right] \tag{A.23}$$

with the trace tr on the Lie algebra indices. We are interested in extracting the logarithmic divergences by expanding the denominator in powers of k/p up to the appropriate order:

$$\begin{aligned}\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{(2p_m-k_m)(2p_n-k_n)}{p^2\,(p-k)^2} &= \int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{(2p_m-k_m)(2p_n-k_n)}{p^4\left(1+\left(k^2-2kp\right)/p^2\right)}\\ \sim\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{(4p_mp_n + k_mk_n - 2k_mp_n - 2k_np_m)}{p^4}&\left(1+\frac{2pk}{p^2}-\frac{k^2}{p^2}+\frac{4\,(pk)^2}{p^4}\right)\\ \sim\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{-4k^2\frac{p_mp_n}{p^2}+16k_rk_s\frac{p_mp_np_rp_s}{p^4}+k_mk_n-4k_nk_r\frac{p_mp_r}{p^2}-4k_mk_r\frac{p_np_r}{p^2}}{p^4}&\\ = \int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{-\frac{1}{3}\left(k^2\delta_{mn}-k_mk_n\right)}{p^4} = -\frac{1}{3}\left(k^2\delta_{mn}-k_mk_n\right)&\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2\end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

where we have integrated symmetrically:

$$p_m p_n \to \frac{1}{4} p^2 \delta_{mn}, \qquad\qquad p_m p_n p_r p_\delta \to \frac{1}{24} p^4 \left(\delta_{mn}\delta_{rs} + \delta_{mr}\delta_{ns} + \delta_{ms}\delta_{ns}\right) \tag{A.25}$$

Hence the orbital contribution to the beta function is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Det}^{-1}\left(-\Delta\right) &\sim \exp\left[-\frac{1}{3(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left[A_m\left(k^2\delta_{mn} - k_m k_n\right)A_n\right]\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{3(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{2}\left[A^b_m\left(k^2\delta_{mn} - k_m k_n\right)A^d_n\right]\left(-f^{abc}f^{cda}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{N}{3(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2 \frac{1}{4}\int d^4x\,\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2\right] \end{aligned} \tag{A.26}$$

where in the last step we used:

$$f^{abc}f^{abd} = N\delta^{cd} \tag{A.27}$$

and:

$$\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x F^a_{mn}F^a_{mn} \sim \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^4k}{\left(2\pi\right)^4} A^a_m\left(-k\right)A^a_n\left(k\right)\left(k^2\delta_{mn} - k_m k_n\right) \tag{A.28}$$

at leading order. Now we compute the spin contribution to the effective action. Since $\mathrm{tr}\,F_{mn} = 0$, up to the quadratic order in F_{mn} we get:

$$\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(1 - 2i\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}\mathrm{ad}\,F_{mn}\right) \sim \exp\left[-\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}\mathrm{ad}\,F_{mn}\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}\mathrm{ad}\,F_{nm}\right)\right] \tag{A.29}$$

At the lowest order $(-\Delta) \sim \left(-\partial^2\right)$, therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\mathrm{ad}\,F_{mn}\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\mathrm{ad}\,F_{nm}\right) \\ &\quad = \int d^4x \int d^4y\;\mathrm{tr}\left[G\left(x-y\right)\mathrm{ad}\,F_{mn}\left(y\right)G\left(y-x\right)\mathrm{ad}\,F_{nm}\left(x\right)\right] \\ &\quad = -N\int d^4x \int d^4y\,G\left(x-y\right)^2 F^a_{mn}\left(y\right)F^a_{mn}\left(x\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.30}$$

where in coordinate space:

$$G\left(x-y\right) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\left(x-y\right)^2} \tag{A.31}$$

and:

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{ad}\,F_{mn}\,\mathrm{ad}\,F_{nm}\right) = F^b_{mn}\,if^{abc}\,F^d_{nm}\,if^{cda} = -NF^a_{mn}F^a_{mn} \tag{A.32}$$

Assuming that the background field carries momentum much smaller than the fluctuating field we can expand $F_{mn}\left(y\right) = F_{mn}\left(x\right) + \ldots$ by Taylor series and keep the first term since we are interested only in the divergent terms. Thus defining $z = x - y$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\mathrm{ad}\,F_{mn}\left(-\partial^2\right)^{-1}\mathrm{ad}\,F_{nm}\right) \sim -\frac{N}{\left(2\pi\right)^4}\int\frac{d^4z}{z^4}\int d^4x\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2 \\ &\quad = -\frac{N}{(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2\int d^4x\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2 = -\frac{4N}{(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{A.33}$$

Therefore, at this order the divergent part reads:

$$\mathrm{Det}^{-1/2}\left(1-2i\,(-\Delta)^{-1}\,\mathrm{ad}\,F_{mn}\right)\sim\exp\left[\frac{4N}{(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\,(F^a_{mn})^2\right] \tag{A.34}$$

Finally, the local part of the one-loop effective action reads:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\text{1-loop}}&=\frac{1}{2g^2}S_{\mathrm{YM}}+\left(\frac{N}{3\,(4\pi)^2}-\frac{4N}{(4\pi)^2}\right)\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\,(F^a_{mn})^2\\&=\left(\frac{1}{2g^2\,(\Lambda)}-\frac{11N}{3\,(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\right)S_{\mathrm{YM}}\end{aligned} \tag{A.35}$$

Therefore, the bare coupling constant $g\,(\Lambda)$ renormalizes as:

$$\frac{1}{2g^2\,(\Lambda)}=\frac{1}{2g^2\,(\mu)}+\frac{11N}{3}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\log\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \tag{A.36}$$

or:

$$g^2\,(\Lambda)=\frac{g^2\,(\mu)}{1+\frac{11N}{3(4\pi)^2}\,g^2\,(\mu)\log(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu})^2} \tag{A.37}$$

that is the solution at one loop of the equation that defines the β function:

$$\beta\,(g)=\frac{\partial g}{\partial\log\Lambda}=-\beta_0 g^3+\cdots \tag{A.38a}$$

$$\beta_0=\frac{11N}{3\,(4\pi)^2} \tag{A.38b}$$

Eq. (A.36) can be also written as:

$$\Lambda\,e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta_0 g^2(\Lambda)}}=\mu\,e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta_0 g^2(\mu)}} \tag{A.39}$$

Thus the combination:

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{YM}}=\Lambda\,e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta_0 g^2(\Lambda)}} \tag{A.40}$$

is independent on the cutoff Λ and it is a renormalization group invariant at one loop.

We add now n_f massless Dirac fermions in the fundamental representation. The action reads:

$$S_{\mathrm{QCD}}=\frac{1}{2g^2}S_{\mathrm{YM}}+\sum_{n_f}i\int d^4x\bar{\psi}D_m(A)\gamma^m\psi \tag{A.41}$$

Integrating over the fermion variables, we get the fermion determinant:

$$\mathcal{D}et_{\psi}=[\det\,(D_m(A)\gamma^m)]^{n_f} \tag{A.42}$$

At one loop we can simply evaluate the covariant derivative on the background gauge connection. Hence the fermion determinant factors out of the functional integral and it can be evaluated separately. We can put:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}et_{\psi} &\sim \mathrm{Det}^{n_f}\left(D_m\gamma^m\right) = \mathrm{Det}^{n_f/2}\left(D_m D_n \gamma^m\gamma^n\right)\\ &= \mathrm{Det}^{n_f/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}D_m D_n\left(\{\gamma^m,\gamma^n\}+[\gamma^m,\gamma^n]\right)\right)\\ &= \mathrm{Det}^{n_f/2}\left(\Delta\mathbb{1}+i\frac{1}{2}F_{mn}\gamma^m\gamma^n\right)\\ &= \mathrm{Det}^{n_f/2}\left(-\Delta\mathbb{1}\right)\mathrm{Det}^{n_f/2}\left(1-i\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta)^{-1}F_{mn}\gamma^m\gamma^n\right)\end{aligned} \tag{A.43}$$

In order to evaluate the first determinant, we compare with Eq. (A.26) keeping trace of the spinor indices. The local divergent part reads:

$$\mathrm{Det}^{n_f/2}\left(-\Delta\mathbb{1}\right) = \mathrm{Det}^{2n_f}\left(-\Delta\right) \sim \exp\left[\frac{2n_f T_{\mathrm{F}}}{3(4\pi)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x\,\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2\right] \tag{A.44}$$

where T_{F} is the Dynkin index for the fundamental representation. For the spin contribution by comparison with Eq. (A.34), taking the trace over the spinor indices, we get the local divergent part:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Det}^{n_f/2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}i\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}\,F_{mn}\gamma^m\gamma^n\right)\\ &\quad\sim \exp\left[\frac{n_f}{16}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}F_{mn}\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}F_{rs}\gamma^m\gamma^n\gamma^r\gamma^s\right)\right]\\ &\quad= \exp\left[\frac{n_f}{4}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}F_{mn}\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}F_{rs}\left(\delta^{mn}\delta^{rs}+\delta^{ms}\delta^{nr}-\delta^{mr}\delta^{ns}\right)\right)\right]\\ &\quad= \exp\left[\frac{n_f}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}F_{mn}\left(-\Delta\right)^{-1}F_{nm}\right)\right]\\ &\quad\sim \exp\left[-\frac{2n_f T_{\mathrm{F}}}{\left(4\pi\right)^2}\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2\right]\end{aligned} \tag{A.45}$$

Finally, the local part of the one-loop effective action reads:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\mathrm{QCD}} &= \Gamma_{\mathrm{YM}} + \left(-\frac{2n_f T_{\mathrm{F}}}{3\left(4\pi\right)^2}+\frac{2n_f T_{\mathrm{F}}}{\left(4\pi\right)^2}\right)\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2\\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2g^2\left(\Lambda\right)}-\left(\frac{11N}{3\left(4\pi\right)^2}-\frac{4n_f T_{\mathrm{F}}}{3\left(4\pi\right)^2}\right)\log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)\right)\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\left(F^a_{mn}\right)^2\end{aligned} \tag{A.46}$$

Setting $T_{\mathrm{F}} = \frac{1}{2}$ for the fundamental representation, the *QCD* beta function at one loop reads:

$$\beta\left(g\right) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial\log\Lambda} = -\beta_0 g^3 + \cdots \tag{A.47a}$$

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{\left(4\pi\right)^2}\left(\frac{11}{3}N-\frac{2}{3}n_f\right) \tag{A.47b}$$

B Nicolai map in $\mathcal{N}=1$ *SUSY YM* and cohomological localization

Firstly, we recall the original definition of the Nicolai map in $\mathcal{N}=1$ *SUSY YM* according to De Alfaro-Fubini-Furlan-Veneziano [3, 4]. The partition function of $\mathcal{N}=1$ *SUSY YM* is:

$$Z=\int \delta A\,\delta\lambda\,\delta\bar{\lambda}\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4Ng_W^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\,F_{mn}^2-\frac{1}{4Ng_W^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\,\bar{\lambda}\not{D}\lambda\right) \tag{B.1}$$

where λ is the gluino field, $\not{D}=\sigma_\mu D_\mu$ and all the fields are matrices with values in the adjoint representation of $SU(N)$, e.g. $A_m=A_m^aT^a$ with $\mathrm{tr}\,T^aT^b=N$. We call the coupling g_W to stress that we are choosing the Wilsonian normalization for the action. The following identity holds:

$$\mathrm{tr}\,F_{mn}^2=\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(F_{mn}^-\right)^2+\mathrm{tr}\,F_{mn}\,{}^*F_{mn} \tag{B.2}$$

Integrating over the fermion variables, we get:

$$Z=\int \delta A\,e^{-\frac{(4\pi)^2Q}{2g_W^2}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(F_{mn}^-\right)^2\right)\mathrm{Det}\left(\not{D}\right) \tag{B.3}$$

where Q is the second Chern class. In the light cone gauge:

$$Z=\int \delta\mu^-\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\mu_{mn}^-\right)^2\right)\mathrm{Det}\left(\not{D}\right)_{A^+=0}\mathrm{Det}\left(\frac{\delta A_r}{\delta\mu_{mn}^-}\right)_{A^+=0}\mathrm{Det}(\partial_+) \tag{B.4}$$

In [3, 4] it has been proved that:

$$\mathrm{Det}\left(\frac{\delta A_r}{\delta\mu_{mn}^-}\right)_{A^+=0}\mathrm{Det}(\partial_+)=\mathrm{Det}\left(\not{D}\right)_{A^+=0}^{-1} \tag{B.5}$$

Thus the partition function reads:

$$Z=\int \delta\mu^-\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\mu_{mn}^-\right)^2\right)_{A^+=0} \tag{B.6}$$

De Alfaro-Fubini-Furlan-Veneziano conclude that μ^- is a Gaussian ultralocal field. Therefore, in [10] it is observed that the partition function describes a seemingly free theory. No renormalization occurs apparently and the beta function seems to vanish identically. This seems to contradict the known result $\beta\left(g_W\right)=-3Ng_W^3/\left(4\pi\right)^2$ for the Wilsonian coupling due to *NSVZ*. In order to solve this puzzle in [10] it is pointed out that the argument that μ^- is a free Gaussian field implicitly depends on the assumption that the Nicolai map is one-to-one everywhere in function space. If it is not so the Jacobian may vanish at some places in function space and its zero modes must be taken into account separately. In this case an extra divergence occurs due to the Pauli-Villars regulator of the zero modes in the Jacobian of the map. Taking into account the possible zero modes, the partition function reads:

$$Z=\int \delta\mu^-e^{-\frac{(4\pi)^2Q}{2g_W^2}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\mu_{mn}^-\right)^2\right)\Lambda^{n_b[A]-\frac{n_f[A]}{2}}\int_{\mathcal{M}}\frac{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle\frac{\delta A(\mu^-)}{\delta m},\frac{\delta A(\mu^-)}{\delta m}\right\rangle}{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle\eta\left(\mu^-\right),\eta\left(\mu^-\right)\right\rangle} \tag{B.7}$$

where the light-cone gauge is understood, n_f (resp. n_b) is the number of fermion (resp. boson) zero modes, and we have explicitly displayed the Pfaffians (i.e. square root of determinants) that occur evaluating the residual integral on the gluon and gluino zero modes. Hence to compute exactly the functional integral of the mapped theory, it is necessary to integrate explicitly also on configurations for which the Jacobian vanishes with the appropriate weight, a task in general as difficult as solving the functional integral in the original variables.

Nevertheless, it was discovered in [10] that the Nicolai map can be combined with cohomological localization in such a way that certain special observables can be computed exactly by evaluating them precisely on the configurations that carry the zero modes, at the same time recovering the exact $NSVZ$ beta function.

In the following the light-cone gauge is always understood. Using Eq. (B.5) we rewrite the partition function introducing fermion fields ρ_{mn} and η_r with the index r taking only 3 values because of the light cone gauge-fixing condition:

$$Z = \int \delta A \, \delta\rho \, \delta\eta \, e^{-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q}{2g_W^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x \, \mathrm{tr}\left(F^-_{mn}F^{-mn} - i\rho_{mn}\frac{\delta F^-_{mn}}{\delta A_r}\eta_r\right)\right] \tag{B.8}$$

The non-topological term can be rewritten as:

$$\int \delta E^- \, \delta A \, \delta\rho \, \delta\eta \, \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x \, \mathrm{tr}\left(E^-_{mn}E^{-mn} + iE^-_{mn}F^{-mn} - i\rho_{mn}\frac{\delta F^-_{mn}}{\delta A_r}\eta_r\right)\right] \tag{B.9}$$

The functional integral here has to be interpreted as either in Minkowski space-time with signature $(3,1)$ or in ultrahyperbolic signature $(2,2)$, since otherwise the light-cone gauge does not exist. In Minkowski signature an overall factor of i in front of the action is understood but not explicitly displayed. In ultrahyperbolic signature the Gaussian integral is defined by analytic continuation. In this form the partition function enjoys the following tautological Parisi-Sourlas BRS symmetry [17, 18][4]:

$$Q_{\mathrm{BRS}}\, A_r = \eta_r \tag{B.10a}$$

$$Q_{\mathrm{BRS}}\, \eta_r = 0 \tag{B.10b}$$

$$Q_{\mathrm{BRS}}\, \rho_{mn} = E^-_{mn} \tag{B.10c}$$

$$Q_{\mathrm{BRS}}\, E^-_{mn} = 0 \tag{B.10d}$$

with $Q^2_{\mathrm{BRS}} = 0$. The consequence of the existence of this symmetry is that the term $E^-_{mn}E^{-mn}$ is a coboundary, i.e. an exact differential, since $E^-_{mn}E^{-mn} = Q_{\mathrm{BRS}}\left(\rho_{mn}E^{-mn}\right)$. Thus it can be cancelled without changing the cohomology class of the integrand and the actual value of the integral [20–25]. The resulting functional integral reduces to:

$$\int \delta E^- \, \delta A \, \delta\rho \, \delta\eta \, \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x \, \mathrm{tr}\left(iE^-_{mn}F^{-mn} - i\rho_{mn}\frac{\delta F^-_{mn}}{\delta A_r}\eta_r\right)\right] \tag{B.11}$$

---

[4] The zero dimensional version of the Nicolai map, for which of course no zero modes occur, has been related to cohomological localization in [19].

Thus the complete partition function reads:

$$Z = \int \delta A \; \exp\left(-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q}{2g_W^2}\right) \delta\left(F^-_{mn}\right) \mathrm{Det}\left(\frac{\delta F^-_{mn}}{\delta A_r}\right) \tag{B.12}$$

that expresses the fact that the partition function is localized on instantons, thus violating the assumption that there are no zero modes. In case we assume the existence of zero modes from the start, we have to insert some fermion contribution to saturate the fermion zero modes of the gluino determinant in order to get a non-vanishing result. This can be done by identifying lexicographically the gluino zero modes, say λ_i where i a spinor index, with η_i where i is a vector index:

$$\begin{gathered}\int \delta E^- \, \delta A \, \delta\rho \, \delta\eta \, \Lambda^{-n_f/2} \left(\mathrm{tr}\, \varepsilon_{ij}\eta_i\eta_j\right)^{n_f/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q}{2g_W^2}\right) \\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8Ng_W^2}\int d^4x \, \mathrm{tr}\left(E^-_{mn}E^{-mn} + iE^-_{mn}F^{-mn} - i\rho_{mn}\frac{\delta F^-_{mn}}{\delta A_r}\eta_r\right)\right]\end{gathered} \tag{B.13}$$

Afterwards, everything goes through as before, since the η_r is *BRS* invariant, and the localized partition function reads:

$$Z = \exp\left(-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q(A)}{2g_W^2}\right) \Lambda^{n_b - \frac{n_f}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} \frac{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle \frac{\delta A}{\delta m}, \frac{\delta A}{\delta m}\right\rangle}{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle \eta, \eta\right\rangle} \tag{B.14}$$

where we have explicitly displayed the Pfaffians that occur evaluating the residual integral on the instantons moduli. For the vector gluon $n_b = 4NQ$ and for the spinor gluino in the adjoint representation $n_f = 2NQ$, thus we get:

$$\frac{\partial g_W}{\partial \log \Lambda} = -\frac{3N}{(4\pi)^2} g_W^3 \tag{B.15}$$

From the one-loop exactness of the Wilsonian beta function we derive the *NSVZ* formula for the canonical beta function as follows. The renormalization of the canonical coupling is obtained rescaling the fields in canonical form, i.e. in such a way that the quadratic part of the action is normalized in order to be g-independent:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \exp\left(-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q(gA_c)}{2g_W^2}\right) \Lambda^{n_b - \frac{n_f}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} \frac{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle \frac{g\delta A_c}{\delta m}, \frac{g\delta A_c}{\delta m}\right\rangle}{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle g\eta_c, g\eta_c\right\rangle} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q(gA_c)}{2g_W^2}\right) \Lambda^{n_b - \frac{n_f}{2}} g^{n_b - n_f} \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} \frac{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle \frac{\delta A_c}{\delta m}, \frac{\delta A_c}{\delta m}\right\rangle}{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle \eta_c, \eta_c\right\rangle} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q(gA_c)}{2g^2}\right) \Lambda^{n_b - \frac{n_f}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} \frac{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle \frac{\delta A_c}{\delta m}, \frac{\delta A_c}{\delta m}\right\rangle}{\mathrm{Pf}\left\langle \eta_c, \eta_c\right\rangle} \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

where we have defined:

$$-\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q(gA_c)}{2g^2} = -\frac{(4\pi)^2 Q(gA_c)}{2g_W^2} + \left(4NQ(gA_c) - 2NQ(gA_c)\right)\log g \tag{B.17}$$

or:

$$\frac{1}{2g_W^2} = \frac{1}{2g^2} + \frac{2N}{(4\pi)^2}\log g \tag{B.18}$$

Differentiating with respect to $\log\Lambda$ the *NSVZ* beta function follows:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \log\Lambda} = -\frac{\frac{3N}{(4\pi)^2}g^3}{1-\frac{2N}{(4\pi)^2}g^2} \tag{B.19}$$

This is precisely the *NSVZ* result originally found by evaluating the gluino condensate.

References

[1] H. Nicolai, *On a New Characterization of Scalar Supersymmetric Theories*, *Phys.Lett.* **B89** (1980) 341.

[2] H. Nicolai, *Supersymmetry and Functional Integration Measures*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B176** (1980) 419–428.

[3] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, and G. Veneziano, *Stochastic Identities in Supersymmetric Theories*, *Phys.Lett.* **B142** (1984) 399–402.

[4] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, and G. Veneziano, *Stochastic Identities in Quantum Theory*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B255** (1985) 1.

[5] V. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *Exact Gell-Mann-Low Function of Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories from Instanton Calculus*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B229** (1983) 381.

[6] R. Floreanini, J. Leroy, J. Micheli, and G. Rossi, *A Perturbative Study of the Nicolai Mapping*, *Phys.Lett.* **B158** (1985) 47.

[7] M. Bochicchio, *Solving loop equations by Hitchin systems via holography in large N QCD(4)*, *JHEP* **0306** (2003) 026, [`hep-th/0305088`].

[8] M. Bochicchio, *Quasi BPS Wilson loops, localization of loop equation by homology and exact beta function in the large N limit of SU(N) Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **0905** (2009) 116, [`arXiv:0809.4662`].

[9] M. Bochicchio, *Exact beta function and glueball spectrum in large-N Yang Mills theory*, *PoS* **EPS-HEP2009** (2009) 075, [`arXiv:0910.0776`].

[10] M. Bochicchio, *Glueballs in large-N YM by localization on critical points*, `arXiv:1107.4320`. Talk given at Conference "Large-N Gauge Theories", 4 Apr – 17 Jun 2011. Arcetri, Florence, Italy.

[11] M. Bochicchio, *Glueballs propagators in large-N YM*, `arXiv:1111.6073`.

[12] M. Bochicchio, *Yang-Mills mass gap at large-N, topological quantum field theory and hyperfiniteness*, `arXiv:1202.4476`.

[13] M. Shifman, *Degeneracies in Supersymmetric Gluodynamics and its Orientifold Daughters at large N*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 065004, [`arXiv:1112.4464`].

[14] D. Anselmi, *A General Field-Covariant Formulation Of Quantum Field Theory*, `arXiv:1205.3279`.

[15] L. Abbott, *Introduction to the Background Field Method*, *Acta Phys.Polon.* **B13** (1982) 33.

[16] A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, *Contemp.Concepts Phys.* **3** (1987) 1–301.

[17] G. Parisi and N. Sourlas, *Random Magnetic Fields, Supersymmetry and Negative Dimensions*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **43** (1979) 744.

[18] G. Parisi and N. Sourlas, *Supersymmetric Field Theories and Stochastic Differential Equations*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B206** (1982) 321.

[19] R. Dijkgraaf, *Les Houches lectures on fields, strings and duality*, hep-th/9703136.

[20] J. Duistermaat and G. Heckman, *On the Variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space*, *Invent.Math.* **69** (1982) 259–268.

[21] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, *Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc.Lond.* **A308** (1982) 523–615.

[22] J. Bismut, *Index Theorem and Equivariant Cohomology on the loop space*, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **98** (1985) 213–237.

[23] J. Bismut and D. Freed, *The Analysis of elliptic Families. 2. Dirac Operators, Eta Invariants, and the Holonomy Theorem*, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **107** (1986) 103–163.

[24] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gauge theories revisited*, *J.Geom.Phys.* **9** (1992) 303–368, [hep-th/9204083].

[25] R. J. Szabo, *Equivariant localization of path integrals*, hep-th/9608068.